

Why Educators Should Care About Games

In virtual games, students act as investigative reporters, environmental scientists, and historians who resolve meaningful dilemmas.

Sasha A. Barab, Melissa Gresalfi, and Anna Arici

Where can a 10-year-old have an impact on the world? Answer: In a virtual world.

Video games, recently surpassing Hollywood films in annual sales, have become one of the most popular forms of entertainment. Yes, they are fun, but they also offer players a chance to take on new roles and experience worlds in which they learn and problem solve. Recognizing the power of this medium, designers are now creating games specifically for education. Vastly different from brightly packaged drill-and-

practice software of the past, these games offer something new to students—entire worlds in which learners are central, important participants—worlds where what they know is directly related to what they are able to do and, ultimately, who they become (Gee, 2003; Gee & Levine, 2009).

In the games we design, children can become environmental scientists, investigative reporters, statistical consultants, and historians. By adopting a persona, or “avatar,” they make choices that determine how events transpire and what characters in the game will do next. For example, in one of our scenarios, a student playing the game takes on the role of statistician, and in-game characters ask the student

player to analyze data to determine whether surveillance cameras or an increased police presence will make the virtual town safer. The next time the student returns to this virtual town, he or she may encounter cameras on every building or a police officer on every corner. Accountability is not based on an external test, but on the consequences of one’s choices.

In this context, students learn how to investigate and pose solutions—and they learn what it means to *be* historians, scientists, or mathematicians. Students often find a passion for curricular content and begin to see themselves as capable of solving interesting problems. We believe this kind of approach truly ensures that no child is left behind

because it offers students opportunities to engage with curricular content and appreciate that content's value.

As part of our Quest Atlantis project (see www.QuestAtlantis.org), we have designed hundreds of gaming activities to teach disciplinary content, which have been used by thousands of children around the world. Through our study of students' practice, we have developed a new theory about how students best learn. What we seek to foster in students is something we call *transformational play*.

Each game involves a knowledge quest and interactive tasks that take place in one of 15 virtual worlds. Educators can go to www.QuestAtlantis.org, download Quest Atlantis for free, and try a sample unit. The themes of these worlds align with academic subject matter—such as statistics or persuasive writing—and each quest taps into subject knowledge.

For example, we designed an aquatic park called Taiga World to host a unit on water quality (see fig. 1). Students are assigned tasks, such as making the water in the river safer for aquatic life. To complete the task, students need to know about water quality, including pH, dissolved oxygen, and turbidity. We view games like these as environments that make academic content a necessary tool and that position the learner as a hero who transforms a virtual world.

Getting to Transformational Play

Merely playing a game does not ensure that a student is engaged in transformational play. To play transformationally, a player must become a protagonist who uses the knowledge, skills, and concepts embedded in curricular content to make sense of a fictional situation and make choices that transform that situation. Positioning students in this way sparks their interest, but equally important, leads to deeper engagement with content.

FIGURE 1. Screenshot of Taiga World, a Quest to Explore Water Quality



In this activity, a player interacts with an in-game character (Markeda), selecting dialogue responses that are consistent with his or her perspective on the scenario.

Our virtual quests expand on strategies associated with traditional project-based curriculums.

In transformational play, students become immersed in activities that engage them intellectually and push back on their thinking and actions. Rather than working on problems in which they must imagine the implications of their decisions (as in most project-based work), students experience consequentiality.

In Taiga, our game connected to a unit on water quality, a park ranger asks students to investigate what is causing fish decline in the virtual park and to come up with a solution (Barab, Zuiker,

et al., 2007). Students might choose to outlaw logging in the park because logging causes erosion, or they might forbid farming near the river because a chemical runoff changes the water's pH. They experience the consequences of these decisions as the simulation takes them 10 years into the future. They might discover that the park has gone bankrupt because no farmers or loggers were paying taxes. Students can then reflect on the weaknesses of their initial solution and consider a solution that demonstrates a better balance between the needs of the ecosystem and socioeconomic issues.

Research indicates that such immersive technologies enhance student learning. In one comparison study, a teacher used Quest Atlantis games in a science curriculum with one student group and taught the identical curriculum to another group of students through traditional methods. The students who used Quest Atlantis learned significantly more science

concepts than the traditional classroom students, showed higher engagement, and demonstrated increased intrinsic motivation (Arici, 2008; Hickey et al., in press). When these groups were tested two months later, the students who learned through the virtual game remembered more science content than the traditionally taught students did (Arici, 2008).

As one teacher who used the fractions unit in Quest Atlantis's math world noted:

The kids [were] not [acting as] 5th graders. They had a task. They had a mission, and anytime you . . . invite the kids to be something other than a student, you're going to automatically see motivational gains. There's a lot more perseverance. There was a lot of reading to be done, but kids were sticking with it. If I handed them a textbook, I would not see the same endurance.

Our virtual quests expand on strategies associated with project-based curriculums. We focus on building game-based learning environments in which students play an important role, using academic knowledge to make decisions that influence, for better or worse, the designed storyline. Thus, these virtual spaces transform learners in three ways: (1) they transform a person from a passive recipient to an empowered actor, (2) they transform content from information that the learner has to remember to a tool that the learner can use to accomplish desired ends, and (3) they transform context from an assurance that "this knowledge will be relevant in the future" to a present reality that responds to the learner's actions.

A Promethean Task

We can best illustrate our approach through an example of how students interact within one of our virtual worlds, Digital Prometheus.

Our instructional goal in this unit was to foster persuasive writing by immersing students in ethical dilemmas

inspired by Mary Shelley's *Frankenstein*. Once teachers assign the unit to their class, students can travel to a village named Ingolstadt. As they interact with local villagers, they develop a position about whether the local doctor (Dr. Frank) should be allowed to create an artificial life form in the hopes of finding a cure for the plague afflicting the town. Let's look at how playing Digital Prometheus engages students in inter-

travel through town. As players encounter characters, each player can interview them about what has been happening. Each character responds with a programmed script describing that person's experiences with the plague and giving an opinion about Dr. Frank's effort to stop the epidemic.

The core tension is that the doctor has created a living creature; he is experimenting on that creature with the

FIGURE 2. Screenshots from the Digital Prometheus Game



The top scenes represent what the virtual village looks like if a player frees the creature; the bottom scenes, the outcome if the creature remains captive.

esting problems, makes curriculum content relevant, and teaches students that their content-influenced actions have consequences.

Establishing a Meaningful Role

Transformational play begins with a scenario that sets a goal. This motivates players and demands a deep understanding of content. In Digital Prometheus, each player first reads a letter sent to his in-game e-mail from his or her mother, pleading with the player to visit Ingolstadt, which is being destroyed by a plague. The message asks the player to talk to Dr. Frank and the local newspaper editor.

Using onscreen menus, players enter Ingolstadt and direct their avatars to

hopes of finding a cure. The player's role as a persuasive writer is established when the editor of the paper asks him or her to build an argument about whether or not the doctor's behavior is ethical. Succeeding in this role requires that the player understand and apply persuasive-writing skills to collect appropriate evidence and compose a persuasive piece of writing.

Seeing That Content Matters

Knowledge connected to disciplines—such as investigative research and writing—serves as one of the most fundamental tools for making sense of the world and acting effectively in it.

In Digital Prometheus, as players interview citizens, they can store any 10

stories or quotes from characters they talk to in a digital “reporter’s notebook.” Because the notebook can hold only 10 quotes at a time, each player must decide which quotes provide the most compelling support for his or her argument. These quotes are eventually brought to an in-game “evidence sorter” that uses a preprogrammed scoring metric to evaluate the power of the collected quotes in relationship to the player’s espoused argument about Dr. Frank. This evaluation helps the player understand how particular evidence supports particular arguments.

Once the evidence scores high enough on the convincing meter, the player can craft his or her editorial for the paper. The classroom teacher then reviews this article—in the guise of the in-game editor—and either accepts the work or requests revisions.

Discovering Consequences

Experiential consequentiality is threaded throughout Digital Prometheus. Soon after making their way to the doctor’s lab, players encounter the first ethical dilemma of the unit: They decide whether or not to take a package from a crypt in the cemetery, a task that involves lying to the constable. The choice each player makes at this point affects the kind of reputation that character develops with both the doctor and the constable. This experience actually changes the content of the players’ subsequent interactions with characters, ultimately affecting the type of evidence players can collect in support of their thesis.

Later in the game, each player submits a final piece of writing either arguing for the continuation of the doctor’s experiments or advocating that the creature be granted the civil liberties that citizens of Ingolstadt enjoy. The player then experiences a world that has changed because of what the player recommended. A screenshot from the game (see fig. 2) shows two possible outcomes. The top three scenes portray

a happy creature freed from the doctor’s laboratory—but this creature is freed into a village that includes hospital beds filled with sick people and contaminated streets. The bottom three pictures show the outcome if the player had argued for keeping the creature enslaved: The village is a bright, healthy world, but the creature remains at the whim of the doctor’s experimental probing.

Supporting Games in the Classroom

Although the games we design are more interactive than textbooks, they don’t teach themselves. Quest Atlantis is not

We view games as environments that make academic content a necessary tool and that position the learner as a hero who transforms a virtual world.

autopilot software. The real potential of educational games is realized only when teachers join students in interacting within these narratives, taking on new roles as they teach with these technologies. As we observe teachers using our virtual games, we’ve discovered that the following key actions enhance the instructional value of games.

Become a Character in the Game

Play alongside your students. It’s essential for teachers to engage in virtual worlds because, even in this nontypical context, teachers still drive and shape student learning. As teachers play, they help the narrative unfold, motivate students with appropriate feedback at opportune times, and highlight key concepts embedded in the scenario. For example, as a player’s “in-world mother” in Digital Prometheus, one teacher wrote to a student,

I am very confused by your letter. What have you had to lie about? What is going on in Ingolstadt? What does the good Doctor have you doing? Please, follow the guidelines I have tried to teach you when writing an informative letter to your dear mother.

Support Core Concepts

In supporting content learning in virtual worlds, consider three ways of engaging with content: procedural, conceptual, and consequential. First, students may need help learning the procedures for completing tasks in the simulation. For instance, in Digital Prometheus, students select three pieces of evidence for each reason they use to support their argu-

ment. This activity has meaning only when teachers help students understand the difference between evidence and opinion. Second, students will need guidance to see the bigger picture and gather knowledge and tasks into a larger conceptual understanding. Strengthening students’ conceptual knowledge might involve discussing key concepts, posing “what if” scenarios, or engaging individuals or the class in Socratic questioning. Third, consequential understanding occurs as teachers help students see the relevance of concepts to in-game situations and use those concepts to influence particular situations.

Use Failures to Teach

It’s hard to get students to use both failures and successes to improve their thinking. Making mistakes is embarrassing, and students may not want to dwell on failures. But, just as in tradi-

tional teaching, bad decisions in a virtual scenario can be as illuminating as successes. If a student's actions lead to disastrous in-game consequences, help students use this feedback strategically, rather than penalizing them. When you first introduce a virtual game, tell students that they should expect to experience failure and have times when they must go back and start over. This will go a long way in coaching students to think about negative outcomes as an opportunity to improve rather than a message about failure.

Allow Off-Task Behaviors

Strike a balance between a tight focus on content that limits opportunities to explore and a too-loose structure in which students learn little academic content. The teachers we worked with were at first wary of allowing students to engage in any off-task behaviors in a virtual world. However, they soon real-

ized that the time students spend exploring the narrative, interacting with characters, and even engaging with content not connected to assigned academic content is an important part of the overall effect of the medium.

Support Transfer of Learning

Although it's important to contextualize students' learning in terms of a particular story, we want students to see the value of the content they learn for other situations. If a learner never realizes how this virtual experience relates to real-life experiences, then the game playing will have been engaging but not productive. Becoming a hero within a virtual world should enable students to see themselves as people capable of using what they learn to successfully transform their world and to continue growing as scientists, historians, or writers.

By helping students connect virtual accomplishments to real-life scenarios,

we lead learners closer to John Dewey's ideal of learning. Dewey (1938/1963) argued that education should be about giving learners the motivation and expertise to act in problem-filled contexts where applying that expertise makes a difference. Dewey's vision of schooling is quite different from the education experience most students have today, which involves amassing knowledge with the promise of someday bringing it to bear on the world. In contrast, when students solve problems in virtual scenarios, they get a taste of the real-world power of academic content. 

References

- Arici, A. (2008). *Meeting kids at their own game: A comparison of learning and engagement in traditional and 3D MUVE educational-gaming contexts*. Doctoral dissertation, Indiana University, Bloomington.
- Barab, S. A., Zuiker, S., Warren, S., Hickey, D., Ingram-Goble, A., Kwon, E.-J., Kouper, I., & Herring, S. C. (2007). Situationally embodied curriculum: Relating formalisms and contexts. *Science Education*, 91(5), 750-782.
- Dewey, J. (1938/1963). *Experience and education*. New York: Collier MacMillan. (Original work published 1938)
- Gee, J. P. (2003). *What video games have to teach us about learning*. New York: Palgrave.
- Gee, J. P., & Levine, M. H. (2009). Welcome to our virtual worlds. *Educational Leadership*, 66(6), 49-52.
- Hickey et al. (in press). Three as magic number: Learning gains in the Quest Atlantis project. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*.

Author's note: The work reported in this manuscript was supported by the National Science Foundation and the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation.

Are your student leaders working together for school-wide success?

"The training received from Mr. Ferrito has enhanced the sense of responsibility held by students, fostered teamwork and collaboration, provided students with direction for influencing the climate of their school, and caused students to realize they can impact the larger school in a positive way."

Robert J. Tesar, Principal, Jefferson High School, Iowa



Peter Ferrito, MA

**Teens²
Teams**

Contact us now:
972-369-1128
peter@Teens2Teams.com
www.Teens2Teams.com

On-site team building and leadership workshops tailored to your specific needs

Sasha A. Barab (sbarab@indiana.edu) is Professor in Learning Sciences and Cognitive Sciences, **Melissa Gresalfi** (mgresalfi@indiana.edu) is Assistant Professor in Learning Sciences and Cognitive Science, and **Anna Arici** (aarici@indiana.edu) is Research Scientist in Learning Sciences at Indiana University in Bloomington.

EL

EDUCATIONAL
LEADERSHIP

TEACHING

FOR
THE

21ST CENTURY

SEPTEMBER 2009

VOL. 67 NO. 1

WWW.ASCD.ORG

ASCD

Teaching for the 21st Century

8 What Would Socrates Say?

Peter W. Cookson Jr.

Do we need to craft a new model of education for the 21st century? It's a question worthy of Socrates.

The 21st Century Skills Movement

Paige Johnson

The chair of the Partnership for 21st Century Skills spells out goals.

16 21st Century Skills: The Challenges Ahead

Andrew J. Rotherham and Daniel Willingham

Why the 21st century skills movement could falter and key considerations that might strengthen it.

22 Why Creativity Now?

A Conversation with Sir Ken Robinson

Amy M. Azzam

Creativity is a crucial skill, Sir Ken tells us.

 Listen to an interview with this creativity expert at www.ascd.org/el.

28 The Science Students Need to Know

James Trefil and Wanda O'Brien-Trefil

Scientific knowledge is the entry ticket to understanding the issues of the day.

34 Focusing the Digital Brain

Marilee Sprenger

How to help tweens and teens deal with hyperconnectivity.

40 Clicking Across Cultures

Sandy Cutshall

Through today's technologies, students learn new languages and develop global awareness.

45 Teaching with Controversy


Susan Graseck


How to teach students to weigh—and weigh in on—a range of views.

50 A Well-Rounded Education for a Flat World

Richard H. Hersh

The simplistic debate about content versus skills is moot in a world that demands both.





54 The Change Agents
Cheryl Lemke and Ed Coughlin
Why we must reinvent authentic instruction and the resources to help do so.

60 Needed: Global Villagers
Yong Zhao
What could be more important than to learn to live peacefully?
Listen to an interview with Yong Zhao at www.ascd.org/authorstalks#Zhao.

66 21st Century Scholars
Terrence Clark
A district extends its school day, and the students applaud.

71 The Students Have the Answers
Debra Gerdes and Ellen Jo Ljung
When businesses share their problems with students, some surprising solutions result.

76 Why Educators Should Care About Games
Sasha A. Barab, Melissa Gresalfi, and Anna Arici
Virtual accomplishments lead to real learning.

Departments

Perspectives

7 21—Age of Change

Marge Scherer

Listen online at www.ascd.org/el.

The Art and Science of Teaching

83 Six Steps to Better Vocabulary Instruction

Robert J. Marzano

Digitally Speaking

85 Taking the Digital Plunge

Bill Ferriter

The Principal Connection

87 The Craft of Conversation

Joanne Rooney

What Research Says About...

90 Encouraging Girls to Pursue Math and Science

Tracy A. Huebner

93 ASCD Community in Action

96 Index to Advertisers

96 EL Online

Read about a new ASCD member benefit—*EL* in a digital format.



Journal Staff

Margaret M. Scherer
Editor in Chief

Deborah Perkins-Gough
Senior Editor

Amy M. Azzam
Senior Associate Editor

Naomi Thiers
Associate Editor

Teresa K. Preston
Associate Editor


Lucy Robertson
Assistant Editor

Judi Connelly
Senior Graphic Designer

Mike Kalyan
Production Manager

Katy Wogec
Rights and Permissions Manager

Brittany A. Bowen
Advertising Manager



Gene R. Carter
Executive Director

Ron Mileta
Assistant Executive Director
for Program Development

Nancy Modrak
Publisher

Gary Bloom
Art Director

Ronald S. Brandt
Executive Editor Emeritus

Cover illustration © Tom White / 9 Surf Studios

Educational Leadership (ISSN 0013-1784) is a benefit of membership in ASCD. Annual membership dues are \$49, Basic; \$89, Select; \$219, Premium; and \$985, Institutional, of which \$39 per member covers a year's subscription to *Educational Leadership*. *Educational Leadership* is published monthly September through May except bimonthly December/January. Periodical postage paid at Alexandria, Virginia, and at additional mailing offices. Return undeliverable Canadian addresses to: 1415 Janette Ave., Windsor, ON N8X 1Z1. Postmaster: Send address changes to Membership Department, *Educational Leadership*, 1703 N. Beauregard St., Alexandria VA 22311-1714.

Educational Leadership is intended primarily for leaders in elementary, middle, and secondary education but is also for anyone interested in curriculum, instruction, supervision, and leadership in schools. ASCD publications present a variety of viewpoints. The views expressed or implied in this publication are not necessarily official positions of the Association. ASCD editorial office and advertising: 703-578-9600; e-mail: edleadership@ascd.org. *Educational Leadership* is a registered trademark of ASCD. Copyright © 2009 by ASCD. All rights reserved. (USPS 168-760). September 2009. Stock No. 110020.